#### ANNEXURE JX

#### S.C.E. Questionnair

- 2.1: What are the details of the initial power retion by JCHNAT which enabled the Germans to shadow CATARAH and to supply him with fact has information, or the location of the German cruises. "First Eugen"; who was JCHNAT and over what perced was the processor mainteness?
- The name JCHNNY is wishows to GISK23 and HUNTSMANN. It is broken however, that CATARRH was constantly associating with the little Captain of the Reserve, Van Den BERC who has imported connections with the port authorities in Restordant. It is possible, therefore, that Van Den HERC passed this information to CATARRH who in turn passed it to ERRNEZER for onward transmission. Both GISKES and HUNTEMANN deny that false information of an la character was ever passed to Van Den HERG. RIDDERHOF first made contact with Van Den HERG in December 1941. As far as GISKES and HUNTEMANN know, RIDDERHOF did not use the name JOHNNY. RIDDERHOF was handled by Uffz. KUP.
- Q. 2. What are the details of the limitson between the Asta in France, Belgium and Holland? What exchange of Information existed for the briefing of interrogators of captured agents?
- A 2: Initial interrogations by SCHREIEDER, and, when necessary, by GISKES, took place at S.D. H.Q. at The Hagus. Subsequent interrogation on codes was carried out by Uffr. MEX at House prison. MEX was purely a code and cypher expert. Berlin, in 1942, directed that a regular exchange of data, based on information received from the interrogations of captured agents, should take place between Asta in Holland, France and Belgium. In addition each 4st sent an individual report on each interrogation to III.F-Berlin. Reports consisted of about one page of foolscap giving the following information:
  - a. Name and cover name.
  - b. Controlling organisation of the agent.
  - c. Mission
  - d. Short summary of training.
  - e. Precis of the interrogation.

As far as is known to GISKES there was no similar interchange of antormation between the various 3.D. Stellen.

III.F-Berlin issued, about every three months, a summary of British Intelligence activities in other countries, e.g. Middle Easy, North Africa, Crete, Scandinavia etc.

Technical W/T interrogations were carried out by the Punk-Abwehr who reported to their main office in Serlin; when the Punk-Abwehr was withdrawn from Holland, these interrogations were conducted by the Pu-B-Stelle-Orpo, who also reported the results to their main office. Abtg. Funk-Abwehr, Berlin, issued a menthiy circular which incorporated the information obtained from these two sources.

### ANNEXURE IX (contd.)

## A. ?. (contd.)

Information on new sabstage devices was forwarded to Abtg. II-Barlin by the relevant Abig. II-Stelle in the countries occerned. Any matters of special interest were incorporated in the 3-monthly III i-Berlin suggestion.

MEY, at the beginning of 1942, had no knowledge of S.O.E. background and was compelled to build this up from his own interrogations. It is possible, however, that he may have received the results of interrogations of agents captured in other countries, through Sipo character.

- Q. 1: What were the details of the serional capture and death of MARROW and his W/T operator?
- A. 7: III F "captured and hilled" MASROW and his W/T operator on 19:11.12. (message No. 1 from CGUNDER via TRUMBEC refers).

The Germans thought that the absence of easualties might cause auspicion in Mondon and, as they were under the impression that London might be intending to ex-filtrate MaRROF, he and his operator were salected for retional excessination.

- Que The reason for the sudden octation of the SPINACH moseagen?
- A.4:

  NEXTERR, in his signal No.3 of 7.5.5.5, suspended BCN)

  traffic mentioning suspended creases in 'VIOTORY' circles. Koos

  VORRINK had been arrested shortly before this date and the Germans
  thought that news of this arrest would be bound to reach the U.K;
  as SPINACH had been carrying this traffic they deemed it advisable
  to shut him down.
- 0.5: What did the Germana know of CARROT?
- A.5: On 15.5,42, Lendon, in CKG 1. told TRUMPET to make a rendervous with CARROT. The address of this rendervous was sent in a complicated code which was broken by the Germans. The address of the rendervous was Bodega, leidsche foort, Leidsche Plein, Amsterdam. At this time of a was, TERMET was under control, as was also TURNIM. For your reason unknown to CISKES of HUNTSMANN the Sipo, who bendled this affair, decided that TURNIM should keep the appointment. The latter, however, was able to warn CARROT, that his two companions were plain-clothes police, and he was able to escape through a lavatory.

Prior to the receipt of the name to quotes above, the Germans had no knowledge of CARROL's cristeres, nor did they succeed in arresting him after his escape.

- Q. 6. The two Anton de WILDES?
- A.6. a) Anton De WILDE D ADRIAN was the name employed by Uffz.
  BODENS of III F Ast-Niederlands for his notional ex-filtration
  to the U.K. in May 1945. He was passed through to Paris by
  ARNO (see A.7 & 8), where he was 'arrested' in a cafe in the
  Byd. des Capucines, whilst in the company of the genuine
  British agent MARCEL. The latter, however, was unmolested.

# ANNEXURE IX (contd.)

# A.6 (cont.)

b) VAN DER MAALS & DE WILDE & AWTON, was Haupt V-Marm of Krimret. SCHEHELEDER of the SD, The Hague.

This man was responsible for the penetration of the Koos VCRRIEK group. In a message from London to BOHI Mr. 34 of 12.11.42, orders were given to contact a certain VENUS; the lat owns a member of the Koos VORCHER group and V.W. DER WALLS, after making this contact, was able to penetrate this organization. In order to inspire confidence VAN DER WALLS asked that a B.B.C. message should be broadcast, and this was done. This confidence having been obtained, Koos VORCHER sent the 'VICTORY' messages through VAN DER BALLS, and these were transmitted on the BONI 11.

It is pointed out that this affair - the group being indigenous - was handled by SCHRETEDER, and III F morely loaned the BONI link for the purpose.

In the summer and autumn of 1963, black lists, distributed by one of the Dutch Resistance Groups, described VAN DER WAALS as being a notorious S.D. agent.

HINTEMANN also declares that VAN DER WAALS was taken by Capt. ABEN (Camp 02) case) to see the Pritish Military Attache in Stockhelm. He is unable to give the date and this informatic is second-hand from SCHREIEDER.

In September 194; SCHREIEDER told HUNTEMANN that "VAN DER WAALS in England soi and freiwillig Lerueber gegangen sei", HUNTEMANN had the impression that SCHREIEDER was considerably worried at this news. He also recollects that, possibly in 1943 VAN DER WAALS was notionally murdered by the SD as he was blown'throughou: Holland.

- Q.7: Whether the BROADERAN/GOLF escape line fitted into the general scheme of the Abrehe and what were the dividends they received from it?
- A.7: These two agents arrived in Holland on 2.2.43 and were duly arrested on landing. Interrogation established that their mission was to organise escape lines for ex-filtrees, etc. For this purpose they had been provided with blank French and Belgian money. The notional running of an escape line presented considerable difficulties to the Germana, since, had they given the impression to London that the lines were working satisfactorily, there would have been no excuse for making difficulties over the ex-filtrations for which London was constantly asking. In addition, a series of notional arrests on these lines would also have appeared suspicious. The policy therefore was to minimise as far as possible, the potentialities of the FROADERAN/GOLF lines.

The only dividends accoming to the Germans were the addresse: of safe houses and contacts sent by London, over the EROADPEAN/GOI - link. As for example the information provided in Nr. 2 to

# ANNEXURE IX (contd.)

# A.7 (conto.)

BROADERNT/GOLF dated 26.4.43, miving the address of a safe house and a password. It was found that the contacts given were, in most cases, of minor amportance and no acrests, as far as GISKES and HUNTEMANN bear, resulted from this traffic, the policy being menely to meep observation and not to 'blew' the addresses or contacts. An empation to this was a house scarch, at the address where Tarche lived in Paris, believed to be in the place Oligometers, as it was thought that, in view of ADRIAN's arress', no series would have appared suspicious.

At a later date, which neither can remember, ARNAUD 2 ARNO, i.e. Uffz. CHRISTMANN, was sent to Baris to an address notified from London with a story that other cu-filtrees were to be expected aboutly. As arrival, however it appeared that London had asked for the case it was a fact and and as a summary willy milly, was sent along the kine accommonded by a women as far as Lyons. Here he came under suspictor, but sanaged to talk his way out of it and reformed to Holland, there he reported the address of the house in Lyons. The midross was passed to the Ast in Lyons and the place was assemble but apparently the organisers of the like had realized the implication of ARNO 3 visit and nothing constance.

All addresses or safe brokes and contacts sont by London, on the ERGADESAN/COLF link, were pushed to the relevant Asta but the results obtained were generally unknown to III F Holland

Q. 8. What was the true identify of the passeur ARNO or ARNAUD?

A. 8 DEFA: CHRISTMANN. III F, Ast-Niederlande.

Q.9: Details of the activities of Col. KOPPERT?

A.9. Col. KOPPERT was a man who had good consections with a few schiol German of Loors, maked without to GLSEKS and HUNTAMANN.

The appears that the March set Kepter? It desired in a train and communicated to a about rand desired articles. It seems, however, that suffers mistrusted difference attribute but but him in touch with set Energy set at the far at deficient at all there are array, RULLESOF only set at DEFERS or . Set occasions we was proble to promote untilled, of a decreasing at interesting character.

The Germans, however, influently any coted to receive from KNOEPERS a great doal of lottomation on the setuvities and future plans of EOSPERP, after ENCIPSES had returned from the D.K.

Q.10: Administrative arrangements under raich RIDDERRGF sorked for Abwehr in both Holland and Helphar?

#### XXVII.

### APNEXURE IX (contd.)

10: In the autumn of 1941, Uffz. KUP had come into contact with EUX RHOF after the latter's release from prison. In December 1944 RIDDERHOF was receiving from III F a fixed selary of 200 gill sees which by mid-1942 had risen to 300 guilders per month, and at the end of the same year, 500 guilders. By the summer of 1943 RIDDERHOF had become completely "blown" in Holland and 1974 for Brussels, with the idea, so GISKES and HUNTEMANN state, of a thdrawing from all GIS activities. Nevertheless he still crown his monthly salary from ist-Niederlande. As far as GISKES and HUNTEMANN are aware, RIDDERHOF was never paid by Ast-Brussels bit, naturally, was known there and he could rely on the Ast's hall for procuring identity papers, passports and living quarters, eds.

Mis various addresses, as known to ILI F-Niederlande, were on follows:

- a) Blarioum, N. Holland, near Hilversum; Korte Moolinweg Z. Frequently used, and relatives of his (by marriage) lived there.
- there was another address, obtainable from the local police, in arnhem. He was probably registered here under the name RIDDERHOF.
- :) Rue de Throne, Brussels, (Fr. forgetten).
- In the spring of 1943 he lived for a time in various hotels in Sea.
- 12. Cotails of the two NCRDFOL agents, alleged to be a British captain and a Dutchman, brought from Holland to Belgium, in the cummer of 1944. The two agents are said to have agreed to co-cocrate with the Germans, but only against the Russians.
- A. 11:

  Price two agents were EMENEZER and TRUMTET. Details are given in statements by GISKES and HUNTEMANN at Annexure VI. Reliner GISKES nor HUNTEMANN can imagine how MIERSHAMS was over of this incident.

### Varbil Questionnaire Submitted by S. O. E.

- (As TORNAT identical with Fer HANC? (See P. 1, Section 3 of two report).
- A. l.: No.
- Q.2: Who is ABOR?
- A. 2: ABOR is: Arnoldus Albert RAATSEN @ WATERCRESS.
- Q. 3: Escape of PARSNIP, CABBAGE and LACROSSE?
- A. A. See statement by GISKES, Annexure VII.

#### XXVIII.

### ( b acc) XI MULTAN

# Verbal Questions are Submitted by S.O.E. (contd.)

- % as RIDOERHOF identical with CHERRY ERANDY or George BRANDY?
- A. 4: DDERHOF, when making reports to III F on George BRANDY, was supposed to refer to him as SHERRY.
- Q. 5: Radio-Dienst?
- 1.5: "etther GISKES nor HUNTEMANN have any knowledge of this organ sation, nor have they even heard of it.
- Q. C: 3 VI ?
- A. 5: Crither GISKES nor HUNDEMANN know a great deal about this critical sation. However, it is possible that it was penetrated by the Sipo, through LINDEMANS.
- Q:7: [xplanation of the German spelling of the key-word "PRIJS" in A/:78 from KALE?
- benezer was certainly not being used to encipher, translate or the numbt on the 25.4.43, when the word "FREIS" appeared in mean a A/978 from KALE via ERCOCOLI. At this time ERENEZER was increased at Hearen and the suggested explanation of this incident by HUNTEMANN is as follows:

lembers of the Orpo frequently transmitted in the neighbourhood of 's entogenbouch, and on these occasions often visited the light prison. It is ressible, though unlikely, that one of there are gave to EBENEZER the draft message and asked him to easily evit. EBENEZER may have learnt ERCCOOLI's key word to out he tepping on the central heating system, and thus have had first ance to insert the word 'PREIS' in the message. HUNTEMANN in all, however, that this would have been very unlikely as, these always messages were anciphered at the Mu-P Stelle-Orpo, that the Drieburgen, and taken to the place of transmission already of it ered. In addition, all anciphering and deciphering was still to a double check done by two different members of the Bite le. Accordingly, no credible explanation for this incident of can be offered by HUNTEMANN or GISKES.

the same time it is emphasized that all matters connected with inhers and transmission were dealt with entirely by the Otto and HUNTEMANN and GISKES knew little about the procedure scope d. Neither possesses my technical knowledge of codes, with a cor W/T.

#### Guarany of copfored S. O. E. Agents.

In spring and summer of 1942, all captured agents were taken to the SA retuon to be eveningen. Interrogation generally took place in the prison, but it peoial cases agents were interrogated personally by SADESIELER in it a SQ's of the Sipe, Elementof, The begue

prison at Scher singer as they were being played back, and were actually transmitting: It was therefore necessary to prevent them coming into contact with other Dutch prisoners and their Dutch guards.

#### XXXX

ANDEAURE IX (con d.)

Cuntody of Captu ed S. O. E. Agents (contd.)

In about Sectamber or Cotober 1942, all MCRDFOL agents were taken to the special prise a at Haaren. From this date onwards all arrested agents were conducted a maight to Haaren, where they were interrogated, except those who expeared to ave received special missions, e.g. Johan GRUEN & ERUTUS and a female good named FELIX & CHICORY, who were first interrogated at Dimember by CCA CLEDER.

After the encape from Haaren in December 1943 of PARSNIP, CABBAGE and LACROSSE, all NOTOFOL agents, with the exception of EMENEZER, TRUMPET and Frain, were transferred early in 1944 to the prison at Assen, and thence at some later daws, unknown, to camps in Germany.

In mid-Syptember 1914, SCHREIEEER told HUNTEMANN that TRUMPET and ELECTRON had also been sent to Germany, and that they were at Sachsenhausen Comp. At the same time, SCHREIEDER professed ignorance of the whereabouts of the other HUR/POL agents. Nevertheless he promised to make enquiries, but LLI P were never informed of the result. As GISCES felt a special responsibility for EHENEZER and TRUMPET as a result of the promises that had been made to them, he ordered HUNTEMANN to visit them at this camp. An account of HUNTEMANN's visit is given at Annexure VIII.

A contain H, tatuf. WACKER was in charge of the prison at Haaren, which was also, at times, used as a detention prison for hostages.

Noves on S. O. E. / gents.

It must be caphasised that the actual handling of the agents after access was encircly an SD responsibility, and for this reason both GISKES and HUNTSMANN are not good sources of information on the behaviour and personalities of the captured agents; in fact, many of them were never even seen by CISF S or HUNTEMANN.

The product of co-operate as a result of a definite promise made that more of the armise that his own life would be spared made no impression on him, and it was impossible to turn him by threats of this nature. He was absolutely of avinced that London would be aware that he was operating under control, within three weeks. In fact he stated that a certain Col, BLOT had assured him that this would be the case before he left the U.K. Special pains were taken to school a B-Stelle operator in EMENEZER's transmitting idiosyncracies, as it was feared that if he should become aware of the seriousness of the position that his behaviour had entailed, he would, at no matter what cost, take steps to inform London. He never concealed his dislike of the Germans.

TRUMPET: In described as being a man of little initiative and with a dislike for hard work. He appeared to be completely disinterested in his situation and HUNTEMANN had the impression that, even if free, he would have been a poor agent. During imprisonment he was subject to frequent fits of depression and for that reason HUNTEMANN arranged for him to share a cell with EMENNETER.

### ANNEXURE IX (Contd.)

Notes on S. O.E. Agents (contd.)

CATARRH: Is described by GISKES as being a man of outstanding courage and determination. No promises or threats would induce him to provide information or to co-operate in any way. After the failure of an attempted escape he refused to give his parole not to escape again. Any information that he did provide, was given, when he was quite sure that it would do no harm to his cause.

FELIX (Ter WIND!): A female agent who behaved very well, and from whom it was almost impossible tain information. When wonden proposed an address in The Hague for her contact. SCHELLEDER promised her her liberty if she would consent to act as an SD agent in making the contact. She refused SCHELEDER's proposal, preferring imprisonment where however, she was well treated, according to HUNTEMANN.

MARROW: Is described as a man of high ideals and great patriotism.
EUNTEMANN however considered that his affection for his wife and child was such that he would never have been a good agent. Whilst under arrest he was constantly asking for news of his family. HUNTEMANN declares that he persuaded SCHREIEDER to send the wife a letter and money through an imaginary Datch organisation, and the wife was allowed to send a letter back through the same intermediary.

WATERCALSS: Is described by HUNTEMANN as a bad character who voluntaril spied on his fellow prisoners at Haaren and reported on them to the SD. Through him, many written messages from one prisoner to another found their way into the hands of the SD. At a later period he fell into disfavour with the SD who found papers indicating his intention to escape.

EMETROOT & VANSITTARD (EMETROOT): Former numbers of the Marechaussee. Described as excellent agents who, at initial interrogations, convinced the Germans that they were people of very minor importance. When, in a signal from London at a later date, it was made clear to the Germans that the pair were "EUREKA" instructors, they were further interrogated, but both claimed to have forgotten their knowledge of the subject and the Germans were obliged to send the first set they captured to Berlin, for expert examination, before they could work it.

PARSLET: Described as a good agent from whom the SD could get nothing for a long time. At his first interrogation after capture, he insisted that a W/T message should be sent to London stating: "KLVIRA reached the station 57 minutes late". This message appeared suspicious to GISKES and HUNTEMANN, and in order to obviate having to transmit it, PARSLEY had to be notionally killed.

good trustworthy men who gave nothing away of any importance.

BRCADBEAN: Volunteered to work for the Germans. His offer was refused as HUNTEMANN believed that he only made the offer with a view to future escape.

TURNIP: Showed considerable resource in warning CARROT. (See A. 5 of S. O. E. Questionnaire)

SCHREIEDER expressed the opinion that the quality of agents sent, progressively deteriorated.